



\$~38 & 48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

38

+ **W.P.(C) 7650/2024**

YASHPAL SINGH SHEKHAWAT

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Pramod Kumar Tiwari and Mr.
Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocates.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Nune Balraj, SPC with Ms.
Meghna Rao and Ms. Muskan Jain,
Advocates for R-1 and R-2.**

**SI Prahlad Devenda and SI Amit
Kumar, CISF.**

48

+ **W.P.(C) 7685/2024**

SANJEEV KUMAR CHHIBBER

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Pramod Kumar Tiwari and Mr.
Anil Kumar Sinha, Advocates.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Viplav Acharya, SPC with SI
Prahald Devenda and SI Amit Kumar,
CISF.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

27.05.2024

%



CM APPL. 31822/2024 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 7650/2024
CM APPL. 32011/2024 (Exemption) in W.P.(C) 7685/2024

Exemptions allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 7650/2024 and W.P.(C) 7685/2024

1. As these two petitions have been filed with identical prayers, and it is reiterated by the counsel for the petitioners that respondents should extend the benefit of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of *Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL & Ors. versus C.P. Mundinamani & Ors.* in Civil Appeal No. 2471/2023 decided on 11.04.2023, the same are decided by this common order.
2. Mr. Pramod Kr. Tiwari, learned counsel appearing for the petitioners reiterates the prayers as sought in the writ petitions.
3. The counsel appear for the respondents state that each case is required to be looked/considered on facts to ensure that the said case is covered by the judgment of the Supreme Court.
4. Noting the said submission(s) made, we dispose of these petitions with a direction to the respondents to consider the writ petitions as representations on behalf of the petitioners in terms of the judgement of the Supreme Court referred to above and dispose of the same within a period of ten weeks.
5. If the petitioners are entitled to the benefits as per the judgment of the Supreme Court, the same shall be released to them within a period of six weeks thereafter.
6. In the eventuality, the respondents are of the view that a petitioners are not entitled to the benefits as sought, reasons thereof, shall be



communicated to the said petitioners in writing, and the petitioners shall be at liberty to seek such remedy as available in accordance of law.

7. The petitions stand disposed of.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

MAY 27, 2024/ib