



\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 4344/2024
MR. SAURABH KOHLI & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr.Vikas Kumar, Advocate with
petitioners in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANRRespondent
Through: Mr.Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Rajiv Ranjan
Mr.Nagender Kr. and Ms.Nishi, Advocates for
respondent No.2 with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **06.08.2024**

1. The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.26/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406/354/34 IPC at P.S. Mayapuri on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner No.2 is the mother-in-law of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 08.01.2020 before Family Courts, Tis Hazari Courts, West Distt, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 06.04.2023 passed by learned Judge, Family Court, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in HMA No.813/2023. It is further submitted that out of the settlement



amount, the balance amount of Rs.1,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.005678 dated 05.08.2024 drawn on HDFC Bank. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that he has also filed his *Vakalatnama*.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

AUGUST 6, 2024

na