



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4331/2024**

YUVRAJ SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Gurpreet Singh and Mr.Simardeep
Singh Kalra, Advs. with petitioner in
person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for State
with WSI Savita, PS Prasad Nagar.
R-2 (through V.C.)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

01.10.2024

%

1. The present proceedings have been instituted on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 0052/2019 registered under Sections 323/341/506/34 IPC at Police Station Prasad Nagar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner alongwith other accused persons gave injury to the respondent No.2 with a sharp-edged weapon.
3. Learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is one of the accused and respondent No.2 is the only complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the chargesheet has already been filed in the present case. Learned APP further states that there is another co-accused against whom the FIR has already been quashed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 13.05.2024 in CRL.M.C. 3486/2024.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of respectable members of the society, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Memorandum of Settlement dated 01.05.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./WSI Savita, PS Prasad Nagar, Delhi who is present in the Court. Respondent No. 2 is also present through video-conferencing and has been identified by the I.O.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that he has entered into the aforementioned Memorandum of Settlement out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 1, 2024

akc