



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10761/2023**

PARDEEP KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Yashaswi S.K. Chocksey,**
Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Aarushi Tikku, Adv. for**
MCD

Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal,
Standing Counsel with Ms.
Shweta Singh, Adv. for MCD
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Jha, Adv.
for SHO, Delhi Police

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER

%

06.12.2024

1. This writ petition has been preferred seeking the following reliefs:-

“a.) issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing Respondent No. 1 to release the petitioner’s vending goods & all its belongings;

b.) issue the writ in nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to restore the petitioner to its original vending space with dignity i.e. Shop at Narela Zone, Ward 32-N in Shahbad Dairy, New Delhi-110042;

c.) issue the writ in nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to pay the compensation to the petitioner for illegally confiscation act done by the respondent;

d.) Allow the present writ petition with exemplary cost.



e.) pass such other and further orders as this Hon'ble court may consider fit and proper necessary in the interest of justice.”

2. During the pendency of these proceedings, we are informed that the goods and articles which had been seized have been duly released. That only leaves us to examine relief (b) as was claimed.

3. Ms. Tiku, learned counsel appearing for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi [“MCD”] states that subject to the petitioner conforming with the terms and conditions of the Town Vending Committee [“TVC”], they would have no objection to him undertaking his vending activity in accordance with law.

4. In view of the statement so made, we find that no further directions are warranted. The writ petition shall stand disposed of on the above terms.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

DECEMBER 06, 2024

sp