



\$~2 to 4 and 29 to 36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7537/2024**

R.K. T.T. COLLEGE & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika, Advs. for NCTE.
Mr. Anuj Kapoor and Mr. Shivom Sethi, Advs.

3

+ **W.P.(C) 8557/2024**

BHAVNA M.E.D COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manmohan Singh Narula and Mr. Sarabjeet Singh, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika, Advs. for NCTE.

4

+ **W.P.(C) 13309/2024**

BRILLIANT INSTITUTE OF PROFESSIONAL STUDIES



.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

29

+ W.P.(C) 11217/2024

SATYANARAYAN SINGH MAHAVIDYALAYA AND ANR

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

30

+ W.P.(C) 13182/2024

PRATHVI COLLEGEPetitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Arora, Adv.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with



Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

31

+ W.P.(C) 7669/2024

HALADHAR MAHATO TEACHERS TRAINING INSTITUTE &
ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. NK Bhatnagar, SC, NCTE along
with Ms. Pratishtha Majumdar and
Ms. Geetika Agarwal, Advs.
Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

32

+ W.P.(C) 7815/2024

SARDAR PATEL B.ED. COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish and Mr. Ravi
Kant, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.



33

+ W.P.(C) 7841/2024

TAXSHILA WOMENS B.ED. COLLEGE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mayank Manish and Mr. Ravi
Kant, Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

34

+ W.P.(C) 8486/2024

BIJU PATNAYAK SONKAR BALIKA DEGREE COLLEGE &
ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.
Ms. Mrinalini Sen, Adv. for NCTE.

35

+ W.P.(C) 9111/2024

MAA BHAGWATI SHIKSHAN PRASHIKSHAN SANSTHAN &



ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

36

+ W.P.(C) 9116/2024

ITWARI DEVI MAHILA MAHAVIDYALAY & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amitesh Kumar, Ms. Priti
Kumari and Mr. Mrinal Kishor,
Advs.

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Akhilesh K. Srivastava, SC with
Mr. Manoj Kumar and Ms. Niharika,
Advs. for NCTE.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

06.12.2024

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners confine their submissions to the extent of seeking the same directions which are passed in W.P.(C) 16610/2023 *vide* order dated 21.12.2023.



2. The Court has considered the aforesaid submissions and has also perused the order passed by this Court in W.P. (C) 2813/2021, dated 24.08.2022 and the order dated 31.08.2022 passed in the same writ petition in CM APPL 37833/2022.
3. For the reasons recorded in the order dated 21.12.2023, the Court finds it appropriate to reiterate the same directions in the instant cases as well, as the core controversy remains the same.
4. Let the respondents to comply with the directions passed in W.P. (C) 16610/2023 in the order dated 21.12.2023. The directions therein shall be made applicable *mutatis mutandis* to the facts of these writ petitions.
5. Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

DECEMBER 6, 2024/p/sd