



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4309/2024**

VIKAS & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Amit Kumar, Mr Vishal Kumar
and Mr Anjum, Advocates along with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Raj Kumar, APP for the State SI
Mohd. Intezar, PS I. P. Estate.
Mr Varun Bhandari and Ms Kanika,
Advocates for respondent no.2 along
with respondent no.2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

24.05.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 16351/2024

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 4309/2024

2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 CrPC seeking quashing of FIR No.0003/2019 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station I.P. Estate and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.

3. Issue notice. The learned APP for the State accepts notice. He submits that since the FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute and the parties have arrived at a settlement, the State has no objection in case the FIR in



question is quashed.

4. The petitioner no.1 (husband) and petitioner nos. 2 to 5, who are close relatives of the petitioner no.1, as well as, respondent no. 2 (wife) are present in the Court and they have been identified by their respective counsel and by the Investigating Officer Mohd. Intezar, PS I. P. Estate.

5. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no.1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 22.11.2015 according to Hindu Rites and Customs.

6. On account of temperamental issues certain disputes arose between the parties and they started living separately. The dispute between the parties also led to the registration of present FIR.

7. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of Settlement dated 09.11.2020, a copy of which is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition.

8. In terms of the said settlement, the parties decided to reside together as husband and wife. The petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2, who are present in Court affirm that now they are residing together.

9. The parties have agreed to abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement.

10. The respondent no.2, on a query put by the Court, states that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the



other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

13. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.0003/2019 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station I.P. Estate alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.

14. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

15. Order be uploaded on the website of this court.

MAY 24, 2024
MK

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J