



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7449/2024 and CM APPLs.30999/2024, 31000/2024

SURESH GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhishek Saxena, Mr. Singh
Nishant Sanjay Kumar, Mr. Saurav
Dewal, Mr. Munish, Ms. Kamini
Singh and Ms. Manisha Rachal, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

24.07.2024

1. Issue notice.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent/MCD accepts notice.
3. The petitioner has filed the present petition *inter-alia* praying that he be permitted to vend at the given zone without any interference. The petitioner also prays that directions be issued to the respondent no.1 (MCD) to correct his Certificate of Vending and to reflect him as a stationary vendor.
4. Although the petitioner has made other prayers as well, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the same. He states that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to the respondent/MCD to consider the petitioner's representations, which are appended to the present petition.



5. Learned counsel for the respondent/MCD has no objection to the same.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing the respondent no.1 to consider the petitioner's representations and pass a speaking order.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

SACHIN DATTA, J

JULY 24, 2024/cl