



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10600/2023 & CM APPLs. 41155/2023, 67068/2023**
BLOCK 59 WELFARE ASSOCIATIONPetitioner

Through: Mr. Rishi Manchanda, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pritish Sabharwal, Adv. for MCD
Mr. Sushil DuttSalwan, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Arjun Garg, Adv. for R-3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR
KAURAV

%

ORDER
02.08.2024

CM APPL 37779/2024

1. Heard.
2. The applicants in the instant application are at liberty to take appropriate recourse, in accordance with law, for redressal of their grievance.
3. In the instant petition, no substantive directions can be passed at their instance.
4. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

W.P.(C) 10600/2023 & CM APPLs. 41155/2023, 67068/2023

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that in view of the Status Report placed on record by the respondent-Corporation, the petitioner is satisfied and as of now, the petitioner's grievance stands mitigated. He, however, seeks liberty to re-agitate the issue in case the petitioner finds further violations by



the private respondent.

2. The petitioner is granted liberty to approach this Court in case the respondent-Corporation fails to adhere to its Status Report. The respondent-Corporation is also bound by the stand which is taken in the instant writ petition and to take necessary action, in accordance with law.

3. Nothing more is required to be done in the instant writ petition.

4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. The Court has not dealt with the controversy on merits.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J.

AUGUST 2, 2024

p'ma