



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4234/2024 & CRL.M.A. 16070/2024

RANVEER SINGH TANWAR & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Mr Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr Aditya Raj, Mr
Sumit Khari, Ms Lalita Bhati and Mr Himanshu
Bidhuri, Advs.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for State
SI Vinod Kumar, PS-Mehrauli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER

%

25.11.2024

1. This is a petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 804/2017 dated 11.10.2017 under sections 323/341/506/385/34 IPC & Sections 3(1)(r)(u) of Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015 registered at Police Station-Mehrauli and proceedings emanating therefrom.
2. As per the FIR, the petitioners are alleged to have hit the respondent No. 2 and used casteist remarks.
3. The petitioners are present in Court and have been identified by their counsel Mr Sumit Khari.
4. The respondent No.2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the Investigating Officer SI Vinod Kumar, PS-Mehrauli.
5. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement dated 16.05.2024 wherein the parties have resolved their disputes and the complainant/respondent no. 2 has agreed that he will cooperate in



quashing of the FIR. The respondent No.2 states that he does not wish to prosecute the FIR any further and wants to put a quietus to the entire matter.

6. Both the parties state that they have entered into the aforesaid settlement out of their own free will, volition and without any threat, force, undue influence or coercion. It is stated by respondent No.2 that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

7. Since the parties have arrived at a settlement and no disputes are pending, I am convinced that quashing of such proceedings on account of compromise would bring about peace and would secure ends of justice.

8. Even though there are allegations of casteist remark, once the respondent No.2 has appeared in Court and stated that he does not wish to prosecute the FIR any further, this Court does not see any fruitful purpose if criminal proceedings are permitted to be prosecuted any further. It is a fit case for quashing. In this view of the matter, there is no reason to continue the proceedings.

9. FIR No. 804/2017 dated 11.10.2017 under sections 323/341/506/385/34 IPC & Sections 3(1)(r)(u) of Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Amendment Act, 2015 registered at Police Station-Mehrauli and proceedings pursuant thereto, if any, are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JASMEET SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2024/sr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)