



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1835/2024**

MAUN KHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Manvi Gupta, Ms. Shivani
Sharma and Mr. Aditya Aggarwal,
Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for State
with SI Jitendra, AATS/SED

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **11.03.2025**

CRL.M.A. 7793/2025 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 7792/2025

3. This is an application filed by the Petitioner seeking modification of condition no. 4(i) of the order dated 09.10.2024, which reads as under:

“4., it is directed that the applicant be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent/concerned Court/Duty J.M. and subject to the following further conditions:-

- i) The applicant **shall not leave the NCR** without prior permission of the concerned Court.”

(Emphasis Supplied)



4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has been employed by a firm named Balaji Traders and has been deputed as a Purchase Manager, which requires him to travel outside Delhi NCR.

5. She states that the Petitioner otherwise is a permanent resident of Indirapuram, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh at the address mentioned in the memo of parties and has been residing at the said address since past many years.

6. Issue notice. Learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the Respondent-State.

7. He states that as on date the Petitioner has not violated any of the conditions of the bail and subject to the Petitioner undertaking to duly attend the Trial Court proceedings and not seek any adjournment, the Respondent has no opposition to the said relief.

8. In reply, learned counsel of the Petitioner states that the Petitioner undertakes to duly attend the Trial Court proceedings on each date and not seek any adjournment on the ground of modification of this condition. She states that the relaxation is only being sought qua movement within the country to discharge office duties.

9. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the modification as sought is allowed in light of the undertaking of the Petitioner that he will not seek any adjournment before the Trial Court on the ground of his office commitments.

10. Accordingly, the condition no. 4(i) in the order dated 09.10.2024 is modified to be read as under:

“4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the commercial quantity of *ganja* was recovered at the instance of *Mohd. Qutub* and *Mohd. Rafiq* and that there is no seizure effected at



the instance of the present applicant and the further the fact that the case is still at the consideration of charge and the supplementary challan is also yet to be filed, it is directed that the applicant be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent/concerned Court/Duty J.M. and subject to the following further conditions:-

i) The Applicant **shall not leave India** without prior permission of the concerned Court.”

11. With the aforesaid directions, the application is allowed.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

MARCH 11, 2025/msh/ms

Click here to check corrigendum, if any