



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1624/2024**

SALEEM

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashok Agarwal, Advocate
through VC.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC, Crl.
with Mr. Alok Sharma & Mr. Vasu
Agarwal, Advocates for State.
Insp. Sachin Kr. Verma, PS Krishna
Nagar, Delhi.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

02.09.2024

%

1. The present Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed on behalf of petitioner seeking grant of parole for a period of eight weeks for taking care of his wife, who requires immediate treatment and hospitalization.
2. It is submitted in the petition that earlier also the sentence of the petition was suspended *vide* Order dated 03.08.2021 for a period of four weeks on account of precarious health condition of his wife, and the same has been extended from time to time.
3. Therefore, the prayer is made that the petitioner may be released on parole for a period of eight weeks to take care and attend to his wife.
4. Learned Additional Standing Counsel on behalf of the State submits



that no application for grant of parole has been moved by the petitioner before the Jail Authority. Therefore, the present petition seeking parole is premature.

5. In view of the submission made, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to move appropriate application for parole before the concerned Jail Superintendent who shall decide the same within four weeks.

6. Needless to state that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the Order of the concerned Jail Superintendent, he is at liberty to approach this Court.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

SEPTEMBER 2, 2024

S.Sharma