



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7371/2024**

SUBHASH GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Ms. Roopa Nagpal and Mr. Yujur,**
Advs.

Versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Raghvendra Upadhyay, Panel**
Counsel with Ms. Purnima Jain and
Mr. Chandra Kishore Yadav, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

03.09.2024

CM APPL 51054/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CM APPL 51053/2024

1. After hearing learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the Court finds that the applicant is essentially aggrieved by the non-compliance of the order dated 27.05.2024.
2. As per the directions contained in paragraph No.2 of the order dated 27.05.2024, the respondents were to pass a speaking order after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.
3. The Court is of the considered opinion that if the said direction of the Court order is not complied with, the remedy would lie elsewhere and



perhaps not amenable to writ jurisdiction.

4. Reserving that liberty in favour of the applicant, the instant application stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J.

SEPTEMBER 3, 2024

p'ma