



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7291/2024, CM APPL. 30473/2024 & CM APPL. 51406/2024

SMT. NARESH VERMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, Mr. Ashish Kumar, Advocates.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATON OF
DELHI COMMISSIONER & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Akhil Mittal, SC for MCD.
Mr. Kanav Vir Singh, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Bishwajyoti Pal,
Advocate for R-3 & 4.
Mr. P.D. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek Gupta, Advocate for
R-5 & 6.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER
24.10.2024

%

1. At the outset, Mr. P.D. Gupta, learned Senior Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 and 6, clarifies the statement made in paragraph 2 of the order dated 26.09.2024, to the effect that sanction has been granted for installation of the lift, but the lift has not yet been installed in view of the interim order granted by the Court.

2. Further to the order dated 26.09.2024, Mr. Jai Sahai Endlaw, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner will



challenge the permission granted by Municipal Corporation of Delhi [“MCD”] for installation of the lift in the subject premises, before the Appellate Tribunal for the Municipal Corporation of Delhi [“ATMCD”].

3. By order dated 21.05.2025 in this writ petition, the permission granted for installation of the lift was kept in abeyance. Subject to the petitioner filing an appeal before the ATMCD within a period of three weeks from today, the aforesaid interim order shall continue, subject to further orders to be passed by ATMCD.

4. The writ petition, alongwith the pending applications, stands disposed of.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

OCTOBER 24, 2024/MR/