



\$~12.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LPA 472/2022 and C.M. No. 35369/2022**

MANOJ GUPTA AND ORS

..... Appellants

Through: Mr Jepi Y. Chisho with Mr
Kaoliangpou Kamei, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS..... Respondents

Through: Ms Prabhsahay Kaur, Standing
Counsel with Mr K.S. Jaggi, Ms
Nanda Devi Deka and Ms Pragati
Singh, Advocates for respondent No.
1/ DDA.

Mr Anuj Aggarwal, ASC, GNCTD
with Ms Arshya Singh and Mr Yash
Upadhyay, Advocates for respondent
Nos. 3 & 4.

Mr Rahul Chauhan, Advocate for Mr
Parvinder Chauhan, Standing Counsel
for DUSIB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

03.04.2024

%

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. Counsel for the appellant says that the appeal has been rendered
inefficacious as demolition has already taken place.

1.1 Counsel for the appellant thus submits that the appeal can, therefore,
be closed.

1.2 Counsel for the respondents says that he will have no objection if the
appeal is closed.

LPA 472/2022

Page 1 of 2



- 1.3 It is ordered accordingly.
2. In view of the above, the appeal is closed.
3. This will, however, not prejudice to the rights and contentions of the appellants in W.P. (C) No. 9628/2022, which is pending adjudication, we are told, before the learned Single Judge.
4. Pending application shall stand closed.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J.

AMIT BANSAL, J.

APRIL 03, 2024

kd

Click here to check corrigendum, if any