



\$~63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1585/2024**

SONU & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Girish Kumar, Advocate**
alongwith petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

THROUGH SHO PS SADAR BAZAR & ANR. Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel**
(criminal) for the State with Mr.
Shivesh Kaushik, Advocate.
ASI C.Singh, PS Sadar Bazar.
Mr. Vinay Raj and Mr. Hitesh Yadav,
Advocates for R-2 alongwith R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

20.05.2024

%

1. The present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of the FIR No. 410/2024, under Sections 308/341/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Bazar.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the investigation in the present FIR, parties have settled their disputes vide 01.05.2024 in pursuance of which respondent no. 2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed against the petitioners.
3. Petitioners and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as



the Investigating Officer, S.I. Deepika, P.S: Paschim Vihar.

4. The complainant/respondent No.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioners and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

5. Learned Standing Counsel (Criminal) for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet has not been filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Standing Counsel (Criminal) for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR no. 410/2024, under Sections 308/341/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Bazar.

8. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR no. 410/2024, under Sections 308/341/34 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Bazar, is hereby quashed.

9. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.



10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 20, 2024/sn

Click here to check corrigendum, if any