



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 2242/2021, CRL.M.A. 15038/2021**
MEENU PHOGAT Petitioner
Through: None.

versus

SMT SANTOSH LAMBA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Hari Om, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA
ORDER

% **05.02.2024**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present petition had been preferred on behalf of the petitioner challenging order dated 31.08.2021, passed by learned trial court whereby application filed on behalf of the complainant was dismissed. It is further submitted that vide order dated 02.06.2023, proceedings under DV Act have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

The aforesaid factual position have been recorded vide order dated 24.08.2023. None appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 24.08.2023. Thereafter, an adjournment was sought on behalf of petitioner on 03.10.2023.

Since none appears on behalf of the petitioner even today, the petition is dismissed in default as well as for non- prosecution. Interim orders, if any, stand vacated.

ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J
FEBRUARY 5, 2024/v