



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1561/2024**

RAMEET SINGH & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Navjot Singh and Mr.Vivek Kumar,
Advocates with petitioners in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel with
Mr.Abhinav Kumar Arya, Advocate with
SI Dhyanendra
Mr.Surender Singh and Mr.Ashwani Ojha,
Advocates for respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

27.05.2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.640/2017 registered under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Dabri on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.



4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement dated 25.01.2024. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 28.03.2024 passed by learned Judge, Family Court, Dwarka, New Delhi in HMA No.937/2024. It is further submitted that out of the settlement amount, the balance amount of Rs.4,00,000/- is being paid today through a demand draft bearing No.417399 dated 20.04.2024 drawn on Central Bank of India. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim or grievance whatsoever against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.

6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Memorandum of Understanding/Settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft handed over to her today.

7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed subject to encashment of the aforesaid demand draft.



10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 27, 2024

na