



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7158/2024 and CM APPL. 29879/2024**

MEENA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr Satish Kumar Tripathi, Advocate
along with petitioner in person.

versus

THE CHAIRMAN NDMC AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Nikhil Palli, ASC for NDMC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

28.05.2024

%

1. Issue notice.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents accepts notice.
3. The petitioner is a street vendor and claims that she was carrying on the vending activities at the site located near Regal Building (in front of Khadi Gram Udyog), Connaught Place, New Delhi. The petitioner's name also features in the list of 628 street vendors that was prepared in the year 2012.
4. The petitioner is aggrieved that she has not been permitted to vend at the given site as the same has been declared as a No Vending Zone.
5. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in view of the above, the petitioner may be permitted to vend at another site. It is submitted that other *bonafide* street vendors have also been offered alternative places for carrying on their vending activities. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that the respondents will consider the petitioner's case and offer an alternative area to the petitioner to



carry on her vending activities.

6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's case and communicate the alternative site/area as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four weeks from date.

7. Pending application is also disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 28, 2024
RK