



\$~56

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7096/2024 & CM APPL. 29637/2024**

ZOLE SACHIN MADHAV Petitioner
Through: **Ms. Shilpa Saini, Adv.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through: **Mr. Syed Abdul Haseeb, CGSC with**
Mr. Gopesh Jindal, Govt. Pleader.
with SI Prahlad and SI Amit Kumar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

% **ORDER**
17.05.2024

CM APPL. 29637/2024

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 7096/2024

1. An issue of maintainability of the petition has been raised by the counsel for the respondents.
2. Though learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to justify the territorial jurisdiction of this Court on the ground that the petitioner has got issued a legal notice through an Advocate addressed to the Director General, CISF, Lodhi Road, New Delhi, we are unable to accept such a plea. It is the conceded case of the counsel for the petitioner, that the petitioner has availed of all the remedies available to him before the Deputy Inspector



General at Mumbai.

3. If that be so, since the petitioner being a resident of Vadinar, Gujarat and the cause of action, if any, has arisen in Maharashtra or Gujarat, this Court shall lack territorial jurisdiction to entertain the present petition.

4. The petition is closed granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court of competent jurisdiction in accordance with law.

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 17, 2024/jg