



\$~70

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1543/2024**

MANMOHAN SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sagar Dhama, Mr. Ankit
Choudhary & Mr. Chetan Nagar,
Advocates alongwith petitioner in
person.

versus

THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Criminal) for the State with Ms.
Priyam Aggarwal, Mr. Abhinav
Kumar Arya & Mr. Shivesh Kaushik,
Advocates.
SI Anurag, P.S. Parliament Street.
Respondent no. 2 in person (through
vc).

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

16.05.2024

CRL.M.A. 15043/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 1543/2024

3. The present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 42/2024, under Sections 323/341 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Parliament Street, Delhi.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered on account of dispute relating to parking. It is further submitted that during the pendency of the investigation of the present FIR, the parties have compromised their dispute *vide* Compromise deed dated 26.04.2024. In pursuance of which, respondent no. 2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. Petitioner is present in Court today and complainant/respondent no. 2 appears through video conferencing and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Anurag, P.S. Parliament Street.

6. The complainant/respondent no.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioner and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

7. Learned Standing Counsel for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet is yet to be filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned Standing Counsel for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in



continuing with the present FIR No. 42/2024, under Sections 323/341 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Parliament Street, Delhi.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 42/2024, under Sections 323/341 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Parliament Street, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

11. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 16, 2024/bsr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)