



\$~79

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 7024/2024**

VISHWANATH

..... Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Anilendra Pandey, Adv. (through
v/c)**

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS..... Respondents

Through: **Mr. Puneet Yadav, ASC for MCD.
Mr. Arun Panwar and Ms. Prashansa
Sharma, Advs. for R-2.
Mr. R.K. Dhawan, SC along with Ms.
Nisha Dhawan, Mr. V.K. Teng, Ms.
Shivani Taneja and Ms. Anwasha
Singh, Advs. for R-3/DDA.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

16.05.2024

CM APPL.29238/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 7024/2024

1. The present petition raises a grievance as regards alleged unauthorized construction at property bearing No.9844-9845, Gali No.6, Multani Dhanda, Pahar Ganj, New Delhi-110055.
2. Learned counsel for the MCD, who appears on advance notice, submits on instructions of AE, Karol Bagh, that the property in question has been inspected on 11.05.2024 and it has been found that the ongoing



construction is in terms of a sanction plan. A copy of the sanction plan has been produced by learned counsel for the MCD during the course of hearing.

3. As such, the allegations made in the present petition appear to be unsubstantiated.

4. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner confines himself to raising a grievance as regards the alleged presence of an illegal borewell in the property in question. With regard to the same, the petitioner is at liberty to make a representation to the concerned SDM who has the jurisdiction to take appropriate action with regard thereto. As and when such a representation is made, the same shall be duly considered by the concerned SDM in accordance with law.

5. No other relief is pressed for by learned counsel for the petitioner. The present petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

SACHIN DATTA, J

MAY 16, 2024/cl