



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3988/2024**

SH SAURABH MISHRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinod Kumar & Mr. Piyush
Sehgal, Advocates alongwith
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
the State with ASI Narender Singh,
P.S. Bawana & SI Mohinder Patel,
P.S. Narela.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

16.05.2024

CRL.M.A. 15181/2024 (Exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is accordingly disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3988/2024

3. The present petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of FIR No. 585/2023, under Sections 279/338 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Narela, Delhi.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the investigation, the parties have arrived at a settlement *vide* compromise deed dated 06.05.2024. In pursuance of which, respondent no. 2 has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.



5. Petitioner and complainant/respondent no. 2 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Mohinder Patel, P.S. Narela.

6. The complainant/respondent no.2 states that the matter has been settled with the petitioner and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

7. Learned APP for the State submits that investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet is yet to be filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

9. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 585/2023, under Sections 279/338 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Narela, Delhi.

10. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 585/2023, under Sections 279/338 of the IPC, registered at P.S. Narela, Delhi, is hereby quashed.

11. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.



12. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 16, 2024/bsr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any