



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 3968/2024 & CRL.M.A. 15129/2024**

SANTOSH KUMAR BEHERAPetitioner
Through: Mr. Shashank Singh, Mr.
Vikram Pratap Singh, Ms.
Kranti Pratap Singh & Ms.
Ishita Bedi, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Ajay Vikram Singh,
APP for the State.
SI Mamta, PS Dwarka Sec.
23 with SI Arvind Special
Staff, Nort District.
Ms. Astha (DHCLSC) for
R-2.
Mr. Shan Mohmmad S.
Iram Saifi, Adv. for R-3, 5
& 5.
Adv. M U. Haider, Mr. T.
Khan & Mr. Naveen, Advs.
for Nitin Kumar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER
23.10.2024

%

1. The present petition is filed challenging the order dated 05.04.2024, pursuant to which Respondent Nos. 2 to 6 were admitted on bail.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the accused persons have been released on bail only after spending six months in custody.
3. He submits that huge amount and public property was involved and accused persons should have remained in custody for more period of time.



4. The FIR was registered on a complaint regarding theft of petroleum from Indian Oil Corporation pipeline.
5. The learned Trial Court noted that the chargesheet has already been filed in the present case and accused persons have been in custody for a long period of time.
6. On being pointedly asked, it is informed that all the accused persons had been in custody for almost a period of six months.
7. It is not the case of the prosecution that the accused persons, who have been released on bail, have misused the liberty.
8. This Court finds no reason to interfere with the impugned order.
9. The petition is, therefore, dismissed.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 23, 2024
“SK”