



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9877/2023 & CM APPL. 37970/2023**

MANOJ KUMAR SHUKLA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra, Mr.
Praveen Mishra and Ms. Hardeep
Kaur, Advocates.

versus

**PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE TIS HAZARI
ORS.**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Udit Malik, ASC with Mr. Vishal
Chanda, Advocate.
Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate for Mr.
Jawahar Raja, ASC for GNCTD/R-2
along with SI Mukesh, PS Sabzi
Mandi..

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **15.03.2024**

1. When it was suggested to the learned Counsel appearing for the Petitioner that the writ petition raises disputed questions of facts which would require leading of evidence and that approaching a Writ Court would not be an appropriate remedy, the learned Counsel for the Petitioners states that he would withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to take appropriate steps in accordance with law.
2. Liberty, as sought for, is granted.
3. Material on record discloses that the Petitioner has written letters to



Bar Council and has also filed an FIR. The respondents are directed to take action on the FIR and the complaints given by the Petitioner in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn, along with pending application(s), if any. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on the merits of the case.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

MARCH 15, 2024

S. Zakir