



\$~69

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 3939/2024**
TAPAN SAINI AND ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Varun Bansal, Adv.
Petitioners present in person.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Shoaib Haider, APP.
ASI Devender Kumar, PS
Sunlight Colony.
Respondent no.2 present in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **15.05.2024**

CRL.M.A. 15029/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 3939/2024

2. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short, 'Cr.P.C.') seeking quashing of FIR No. 0235/2023 registered at Police Station: Sunlight Colony, South East, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short, 'IPC'), along with all other proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of settlement.

3. Issue notice.

4. Notice is accepted by Mr.Shoaib Haider, learned APP and respondent no.2 who is present in person.



5. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the subject FIR was an offshoot of the matrimonial discord between the parties, that is, the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2.
6. He submits that the parties have amicably settled their *inter se* disputes and have entered into a Settlement by Deed of Settlement dated 30.12.2023.
7. Pursuant to the above-mentioned settlement, the learned Judge Family Court-01, South East, Saket Courts, New Delhi has granted a Decree of Divorce dated 09.04.2024 to the parties, that is, the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2.
8. The respondent no.2, who is personally present in Court and has been duly identified by the Investigating Officer (IO), reaffirms the above-mentioned settlement and states that she has settled all the disputes with the petitioners out of her own free will and without any coercion. The respondent no.2 submits that she does not wish to pursue the proceedings emanating from the FIR any further and has no objections if the present FIR is quashed.
9. I have perused the contents of the FIR and the Deed of Settlement.
10. Keeping in view the fact that the disputes between the parties arose out of a matrimonial relationship and such disputes have been amicably settled by way of the Deed of Settlement dated 30.12.2023, pursuant whereunto, the petitioner no.1 and the respondent no.2 have also been granted a Decree of Divorce by the learned Family Court, in my view, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the FIR alive. In fact, it would rather create further acrimony between the parties and



will be an unnecessary burden on the State exchequer.

11. Guided by the principles enunciated by the Supreme Court in its judgments in *Jitendra Raghuvanshi v. Babita Raghuvanshi*, (2013) 4 SCC 58, *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303; *Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinbhai Karmur & Ors. v. State of Gujarat & Ors.* (2017) 9 SCC 641; and, *State of Haryana & Ors. v. Bhajan Lal & Ors.* 1992 Supp (1) SCC 335, this Court deems it appropriate, in the interest of justice, to exercise its inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR and all the proceedings emanating therefrom.

12. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. FIR No. 0235/2023 registered at Police Station: Sunlight Colony, South East, Delhi under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC, and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom against the petitioners are quashed.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MAY 15, 2024/rv/am

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)