



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 3927/2024, CRL.M.A. 14988/2024**

SHRI PRASHANT SHARMA & ORS. Petitioners
Through: Mr. Vinod Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
with petitioners in person through
V.C.
versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT & ANR. Respondents
Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Rajiv Ranjan, P.S. Mayapuri.
Mr. Counsel for respondent No.2 with
respondent No.2 through V.C.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

% **ORDER**
15.05.2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 581/2020 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC at P.S. Mayapuri on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and petitioner Nos. 2 to 5 are in-laws of the complainant.
3. Mr. Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes before the Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Court,



Delhi on 23.03.2024. In terms of the settlement, the parties have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 08.05.2024 passed by the Family Court, Tis Hazari Court, Delhi in HMA No. 1192/2024. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.5,00,000/-, as full and final settlement, has been paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, stridhan, alimony, etc.

5. Petitioners and respondent No.2, who have joined the proceedings through V.C., have been identified by their respective counsels as well as by I.O./SI Rajiv Ranjan, P.S. Mayapuri.

6. Respondent No. 2 states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to encashment of aforesaid demand draft.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of along with miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 15, 2024/ga