



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3852/2024**

SANDEEP PACHORI @ YOGESH PACHORI & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Kamal S., Advocate with petitioners
in person

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State
with SI Raghubir
Counsel for respondent No.2 (appearance not
given) with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

14.05.2024

CRL.M.A. 14716/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3852/2024

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.790/2015 registered under Sections 406/498A/377/354/511/34 IPC at P.S. Khyala, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.



2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 4 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim. It is also informed that allegations of Section 377 IPC have been levelled against petitioner No.1.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute on 31.03.2023 before Delhi Mediation Centre, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. It is stated that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent vide divorce decree dated 18.10.2023 passed by Family Court, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in HMA No.3090/2023. In terms of the settlement, respondent No. 2 is now left with no claim or grievance whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. The petitioners and respondent No.2, who are present in the Court, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
6. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
7. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.
8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.



9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 14, 2024

na