



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4947/2023**

SHAHANWAZ @ SONU @ TAJ MOHAMMAD & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Keshav Yadav, Advocate through
VC with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE (N.C.T. OF DELHI) & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for the
State with Insp. Dinesh C., P.S.
Khajuri-Khas.

Mr. Piyush Chaudhary, Advocate
along with complainant through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

07.03.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 18821/2023 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 4947/2023

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 630/2018 registered under Sections 498A/406/323/354/506/34 IPC at P.S. Khajuri Khas, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.



2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No.1 (husband) whereas petitioner Nos.2 to 10 are the in-laws of the complainant.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the petitioners are the accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsels for the parties submit that the parties have settled their dispute vide settlement deed dated 02.09.2022. It is further stated in the said settlement that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 have already been granted divorce by mutual consent on 02.09.2022. It was further agreed that a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- would be paid as full and final settlement by petitioner No.1 to respondent No.2. In terms of the settlement, respondent No.2 is now left with no claim whatsoever against the petitioners.
5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the affidavit in terms of the Supreme Court decision in Ganesh v. Sudhirkumar Shrivastava and Ors. reported as **2019 SCC OnLine SC 1107** thereby stating that the rights of the minor child shall remain unaffected by the terms of the settlement has been filed.
6. The petitioners and respondent No. 2 who have joined the proceedings through VC, have been identified by their respective counsels and the Investigating Officer.
7. Respondent No.2 states that she has entered into the aforesaid Settlement with her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.
8. Learned counsels for the parties submit that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.



9. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

10. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

11. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 7, 2024/MR