



\$~36

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 4817/2023**

MOHD RIZWAN & ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Mohd. Zahid and Mr. Brahm
Kumar Pandey, Advocates with
petitioners in person.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Priyanka PS Shahdara, Delhi.
Respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

20.05.2024

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 23/2019 registered under Sections 498-A/406/34 IPC and Section 4 of DP Act at P.S. Shahdara, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. The present FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the complainant/respondent No. 2 (wife) and petitioner No. 1 (husband) and whereas petitioner Nos. 2 to 7 are the in-laws of the complainant respectively.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State submits that in the present case petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No. 2 is the complainant/victim.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the parties have settled their disputes vide compromise deed dated 08.06.2022, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the settlement, the parties have



agreed that they had already parted their ways by taking divorce as per Muslim Personal Law. It was agreed that a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as full and final settlement shall be paid by petitioner No. 1 to respondent No. 2 towards her claims qua maintenance, mehar amount, iddat expenses, permanent alimony, etc. It is further submitted that all payments in terms of the compromise deed have been made.

5. Petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by I.O./ SI Priyanka, PS Shahdara, Delhi.

6. Respondent No. 2 who is also present in Court and identified by the I.O., states that she has settled her disputes with petitioner No.1 of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She also acknowledges the receipt of entire settled amount and submits that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed against the petitioners subject.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no other proceedings are pending between the parties.

8. The parties shall remain bound by the statements and undertaking made in Court today.

9. In view of the above facts and since no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the present criminal proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

10. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 20, 2024/rd