



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1991/2023**

SMT ANJU & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: **Ms. Jasmy M.J. & Ms. Asha Paul,**
Advocates.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: **Ms. Nandita Rao, ASC (CRL) for**
State with **Mr. Amit Peswani, Mr.**
Jasraj Singh, Advocates and S.I.
Deepak Kumar, P.S.: Jahangir Puri.
Counsel for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
18.01.2024

%

3. The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR bearing no. 0834/2014, registered at Police Station Jahangirpuri, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 420/406/120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC') and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom.

4. Issue notice. Ms. Nandita Rao, learned ASC accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. All the petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel Ms. Jasmy M.J. and Investigating Officer (IO) SI Deepak Kumar from Police Station Jahangirpuri, Delhi.



6. Brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 had purchased the plot bearing no. E-1811, measuring 25 sq. yards, from petitioner no. 1. Thereafter, respondent no. 2 had let out all the floors to petitioner no. 1 and 2 for a few months. Thereafter, respondent no. 2 sold first floor to one Mr. Surender Kumar Sharma, and the second floor to Mr. Harsh Lamba. It is stated that petitioner no. 1, in connivance with petitioner no. 2 sold the third floor to one Mr. Pradeep Kumar. On 08.02.2014, respondent no. 2 made a complaint to SHO, P.S.: Jahangirpuri, Delhi against the petitioners and an FIR got registered. It is stated that during pendency of the investigation, a settlement was arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 and the dispute was amicably settled. It is stated that respondent no. 2 had agreed not to initiate any further legal action against the petitioners, hence the present criminal petition was instituted.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no.2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties *vide* Settlement Deed dated 05.12.2014 entered into between them.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 0834/2014, registered at Police Station



Jahangirpuri, Delhi for offences punishable under Sections 420/406/120-B of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

10. The petition stands disposed of.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

JANUARY 18, 2024/at

Click here to check corrigendum, if any