



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3730/2024**

K. G SUMGAIPOU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kaoliangpou Kamei, Adv. with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE GOVERNMENT OF NCT DELHI AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam, APP for
State with SI Narasi Prasad Meena,
P.S. Sunlight Colony.
Mr. Japi Chisho, Adv. for R-3 with R-
3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **09.05.2024**

1. The present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of the FIR No. 142/2024, under Sections 336/337 of IPC, registered at P.S. Sunlight Colony.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that during the course of investigation in the FIR, the matter has been settled with respondent no. 3/complainant *vide* Deed of Compromise dated 25.04.2024. In pursuance of the said settlement, respondent no. 3 has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.
3. Petitioner and complainant/respondent no. 3 are present before the Court and have been duly identified by their respective counsel, as well as the Investigating Officer, SI Narasi Prasad Meena, P.S. Sunlight Colony.
4. The complainant/respondent no.3 states that the matter has been



settled with the petitioner and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

5. Learned APP for the State submits that the investigation in the present FIR is pending and chargesheet has not been filed. In view of the settlement between the parties, learned APP for the State also has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

6. In *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab*, (2012) 10 SCC 303, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has recognized the need of amicable resolution of disputes by observing as under:-

“61. ... In other words, the High Court must consider whether it would be unfair or contrary to the interest of justice to continue with the criminal proceedings or continuation of criminal proceedings would tantamount to abuse of process of law despite settlement and compromise between the victim and the wrongdoer and whether to secure the ends of justice, it is appropriate that criminal case is put to an end and if the answer to the above question(s) is in the affirmative, the High Court shall be well within its jurisdiction to quash the criminal proceeding.”

7. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, and the fact that the parties have put a quietus to the dispute, no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the present FIR No. 142/2024, under Sections 336/337 of IPC, registered at P.S. Sunlight Colony.

8. In the interest of justice, the petition is allowed, and the FIR No. 142/2024, under Sections 336/337 of IPC, registered at P.S. Sunlight Colony, is hereby quashed.

9. Petition is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

10. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

AMIT SHARMA, J

MAY 9, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any