



\$~107

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3721/2024**

NEERAJ SHARMA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr Pradeep Rana, Mr Ankit Rana, Mr Deepak Chillar and Ms Tanisha Jain and Mr Tushar, Advocates along with petitioners in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr Raj Kumar, APP for the State with SI Anup Rana, PS Paschim Vihar West.
Mr Sahil Kakkar, Advocate for R-2 along with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

09.05.2024

%

CRL.M.A. 14264/2024

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.C. 3721/2024

2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 CrPC seeking quashing of FIR No.0222/2018 under Sections 341/323/506/509/34 IPC registered at Police Station Mianwali Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.

3. Issue notice. The learned APP for the State accepts notice. He submits that since the FIR is an outcome of a matrimonial dispute and the parties have



arrived at a settlement, the State has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

4. The petitioner no.1 (husband) and petitioner no.2 (mother of the petitioner no.1) are present in the Court whereas the complainant/respondent no. 2 (mother-in-law of the petitioner no.1) have joined through video conferencing. The parties have been identified by their respective counsel and by the Investigating Officer SI Anup Rana, PS Paschim Vihar West.

5. The brief facts of the case are that the marriage between the petitioner no.1 and daughter of the respondent no. 2/complainant was solemnized on 19.04.2017 according to Hindu Rites and Customs. No child was born out of the said wedlock.

6. On account of temperamental issues certain disputes arose between the petitioner no.1 and the daughter of the respondent no.2 (complainant) and they started living separately w.e.f. 18.06.2018. The dispute between the parties also led to the registration of present FIR.

7. During the pendency of the proceedings, the parties have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of Settlement dated 05.03.2024, which is annexed as Annexure P-2 to the present petition.

8. It is a term of the settlement between the parties that they shall be withdrawing all their respective cases and shall cooperate with each other in quashing of the FIRs.

9. The respondent no.2, who has joined through video conferencing, on a query posed by the Court, states that she has no objection in case the FIR is quashed.

10. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would



create further acrimony between them.

11. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

12. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No.0222/2018 under Sections 341/323/506/509/34 IPC registered at Police Station Mianwali Nagar alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.

13. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

14. Order be uploaded on the website of this court.

MAY 9, 2024
MK

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J