



\$~65

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 6526/2024

I. SRINIVASANAIAK

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jayesh K. Unnikrishnan, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC
with Mr. Kushagra Kumar, Mr. Abhinav
Bhardwaj, Advs., Ms. Vidhi Gupta, GP for UOI.

Mr. Vaibhav Gaggar, SPC with Mr. Vedansh
Anand, GP, Ms. Shefali Munde, Adv. for UOI.

Mr. Ravinder Agarwal, Adv. for R-3.

Sr. Divya Swamy, SC with Mr. Rishav Ranjan,
Ms. Akriti Singh, Ms. Yagyawalkya Singh, Advs.
for MCD.

Mr. Vaibhav Kalra, Ms. Neha Bhatnagar, Advs.
for R-5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 18 & 21.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

%

08.05.2024

CM APPL. 27234/2024 -Ex.

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 6526/2024



3. The present writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India seeks to assail the order dated 13.12.2023 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal (the Tribunal) in O.A. No. 1200/2020. Vide the impugned order, the learned Tribunal has disposed of the Original Application (O.A.) filed by the petitioner by directing the respondents to take a decision with respect to regularization, seniority, and promotion of the petitioner within a period of 16 weeks.
4. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and submits that he will await the passing of a final order by the respondents in terms of the impugned order and then assail the same, if the need so arises.
5. In the light of the aforesaid, we dismiss the writ petition as not pressed by making it clear that, in case, the petitioner is aggrieved by any fresh order as may be passed by the respondents, it will be open for him to assail the same as per law.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MAY 8, 2024

al