



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1936/2023

SANDHYA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Baldev Raj, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANOTHERRespondent

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (CrI.) for
the State with SI Tejpal PS Okhla
Industrial Area, New Delhi.

Mr. Saurav Bajaj, Advocate for PNB.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **07.11.2024**

1. The present petition has been preferred by *Ms. Sandhya, wife of Ashok Kumar* stating therein that she has received warrants with respect to proceedings initiated under Section 138 NI Act. The petitioner claims that she has never availed any loan from the respondent No.2 and as such, there is no question of any proceedings against her.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2/PNB submits that the loan was taken by one *Sandhya, wife of Anil Kumar* against whom the said proceedings were initiated and subsequent to which, on a settlement having been arrived at between the parties, the offence was compounded and the complaint stands withdrawn on 21.03.2024.

3. Considering the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed.

4. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2024/rd