



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1434/2024**

SEEMA ALAM AND ANR Petitioners

Through: **Mr.Vishesh Wadhwa and
Mr.Arjun Gupta, Advs. along
with petitioners in person.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR. Respondents

Through: **Mr.Rahul Tyagi, ASC (Crl.)
with Mr.Sangeet Sibou,
Mr.Deepak Mahajan,
Ms.Aishwarya Parashar,
Ms.Unnati, Ms.Mahipal Singh
Bhartiya, Ms.Anju Rani,
Ms.Chavi Sood and
Ms.Aishwarya Segal, Advs.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

% **07.05.2024**

CRL.M.A. 13954/2024 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 1434/2024

2. This petition has been filed praying for the following relief:

*“a. Pass an order issuing an appropriate Writ
thereby directing the Station House Officer,
Police Station: Shaheen Bagh to provide the
Petitioners herein with Police Protection
against the Respondent No. 2.”*

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are facing threats from the respondent no.2 as the petitioners have made complaints against the respondent no.2 for the unauthorised construction that has been carried out by the respondent



no.2. He places reliance on the judgement of the Supreme Court in *State of West Bengal & Ors. v. Biswanath Mitra*, (2015) 14 SCC 599, stating that the petitioners should be granted free police protection as there is a genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners.

4. Issue notice.

5. Notice is accepted by Mr. Rahul Tyagi, learned Additional Standing Counsel (Crl.) for the respondent no.1.

6. The learned Additional Standing Counsel, on instructions, submits that disputes arising out of small issues is a regular occurrence between the petitioners and respondent no.2, who are neighbours. Cross FIRs have also been filed by them against each other. Be that as it may, as and when a complaint of any threat being perceived by the petitioners is received by the respondent no.1, the same shall be duly investigated by the respondent no.1 and appropriate action in accordance with law shall be taken.

7. The learned Additional Standing Counsel submits that the mobile numbers of the concerned officers are already with the petitioners, and if so required, can be shared again.

8. In view of the above submission, no further direction needs to be issued except binding the respondent no.1 to the same.

9. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MAY 7, 2024/ns/am

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)