



\$~44

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4677/2023 and CRL.M.A. 17853/2023

YASH DALAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Rajiv Tehlan, Mr.Abhishek Rana,
Mr.Ajay, Mr.Jitendra, Mr.Vineet and Ms.Poonam,
Advocates

versus

STATE OF DELHI AND ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Aashneet Singh, APP for State
Mr.Pawan Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.2
with respondent No.2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
08.05.2024

%

1. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the present petition.
2. Leave, as prayed for, is granted. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn alongwith the pending application
3. Needless to state that it is clarified that in case at the time of disposal of the maintenance petition, the Trial Court comes to the conclusion that the respondents are entitled for lesser or higher maintenance, the Trial Court would be at liberty to grant adjustment of the arrears either way.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 8, 2024

na