



\$~81-84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6131/2019, CM APPL. 26403/2019

M/S ROOP CATERERS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Mr. Jasmeet Singh,
Mr. Mahinder Singh Hura, Mr. Saif
Ali, Mr. Pushpendra Bhadoria, Mr.
Vijay Sharma, Mr. Aditya Sharma,
Mr. Pranav Menon, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Harshit Agarwal, Advocate for R-
2.

82

+ W.P.(C) 6212/2019, CM APPL. 26670/2019

M/S SATYAM CATERERS PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Mr. Jasmeet Singh,
Mr. Mahinder Singh Hura, Mr. Saif
Ali, Mr. Pushpendra Bhadoria, Mr.
Vijay Sharma, Mr. Aditya Sharma,
Mr. Pranav Menon, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Harshit Agarwal, Advocate for R-
2.

83

+ W.P.(C) 6273/2019, CM APPL. 26828/2019

M/S BRANDAVAN FOOD PRODUCTS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Mr. Jasmeet Singh,
Mr. Mahinder Singh Hura, Mr. Saif



Ali, Mr. Pushpendra Bhadoria, Mr.
Vijay Sharma, Mr. Aditya Sharma,
Mr. Pranav Menon, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Harshit Agarwal, Advocate for R-
2.

84

+ W.P.(C) 6312/2019, CM APPL. 26934/2019

R K ASSOCIATES AND HOTELIERS
PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Mr. Jasmeet Singh,
Mr. Mahinder Singh Hura, Mr. Saif
Ali, Mr. Pushpendra Bhadoria, Mr.
Vijay Sharma, Mr. Aditya Sharma,
Mr. Pranav Menon, Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Harshit Agarwal, Advocate for R-
2.
Mr. Vedansh Anand, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER
12.08.2024

%

1. Learned counsel who appears for the petitioners seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petitions with further liberty to take an appropriate recourse in accordance with the law. With the aforesaid liberty, the instant petitions stand disposed of as withdrawn.



2. All rights and contentions are left open.
3. Needless to state that if the petitioner takes up any legal remedy in accordance with the law, the same shall not be mechanically dismissed only on the ground of delay. The petitioners appear to be *bonafidely* prosecuting the instant proceedings before this Court. All those aspects shall be considered in the context of the provisions of the Limitation Act, 1963.

PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV, J

AUGUST 12, 2024/KG