



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 1876/2023 and CRL.M.A. 17334/2023

SANDEEP KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr.Kamlesh Kr.Mishra, Ms.Renu
Prajapati, Ms.Shivani and Ms.Snigdha, Advocates

versus

COMMISSIONER OF POLICE AND OTHERSRespondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel with
Mr.Abhinav Kumar and Mr.Priyam Agrawal,
Advocates with SI Dharmendra
Mr.Manish Mohan, CGSC with Mr.Jatin Teotia,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

27.09.2024

1. By way of present petition, the petitioner is aggrieved by the retention of the petitioner's name in the Surveillance Register maintained at P.S. Kishangarh, New Delhi.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner claims that although the State alleges the petitioner's involvement in 11 cases, he has been acquitted/discharged in almost all of them. He further submits that the last involvement is shown to be of the year 2021 and the other previous involvements were of the year 1995 or earlier. It is also stated that the continuation of the petitioner's name in the Surveillance Register is coming in his way of being employed.



3. Learned Standing Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner was convicted in FIR No.392/1995 registered under Sections 392/34 IPC at P.S. Sarojini Nagar, Delhi and that the trial in relation to FIR No.323/2021 at P.S. Kishangarh, Delhi, is pending. He further submits that the petitioner's acquittal/discharge would be considered by the concerned DCP in light of the grounds urged in the present petition, which itself would be treated as a representation and an appropriate decision would be taken in accordance with law. Let the needful be done within one month from today.

4. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved, he shall be at liberty to approach the Court again.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2024

na