



\$~87

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3547/2024 & CRL.M.A. 13639/2024**

RAAJEEV SHHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ratnakar Maltiyar, Advocate
with petitioner in person.

versus

STATE/ NCT OF DELHI & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, APP for State
with SI Gaurav Kumar PS Rajinder
Nagar, New Delhi.
Mr. Gaurav Sharma and Ms. Komal
Prasad, Advocates (DHCLSC) for
respondent No.2 with respondent
No.2 through VC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

06.05.2024

%

1. The present proceedings are instituted under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on behalf of the petitioner seeking quashing of FIR No. 107/2019 registered under Sections 354A/509 IPC and Section 67 of I.T. Act at Police Station Rajinder Nagar, New Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner repeatedly harassed the respondent No.2 and made objectionable remarks by way of e-mail.
3. Mr. Nawal Kishore Jha, learned APP for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioner is the only accused and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the



charge-sheet has been filed.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide compromise deed dated 26.02.2024, a copy of which has been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioner.

5. The petitioner and respondent No.2, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ SI Gaurav Kumar PS Rajinder Nagar, New Delhi.

6. The petitioner has shown remorse for his conduct and undertakes not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No. 2 also states that she has entered into the aforementioned compromise out of her own free will, volition and without any coercion. She further states that she has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.

8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed, subject to payment of cumulative cost of Rs.20,000/- out of which Rs.10,000/- to be paid to respondent No.2 by way of demand draft through IO and Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner with the Delhi State Legal Services Authority (Account No.18580110053263, UCO Bank, Branch Rouse Avenue, IFSC: UCBA0003364) within a period of two weeks from today. The amount so deposited shall be utilized by the Delhi



State Legal Services Authority for providing counselling/psychological support to POCSO victims requiring such assistance.

9. Proof evidencing receipt of deposit shall be filed with the I.O. as well as in Court.

10. A copy of this order be communicated to the Member Secretary, Delhi State Legal Services Authority for intimation.

11. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

12. In case the proof of cost is not filed within two weeks, the IO shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 6, 2024/rd