



\$~98

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 726/2024**

DWARIKA PRASAD GUPTA & ANR.

..... Petitioners

Through: Ms. Nidhi Singh, Advocate
(M): 9999778019
Email: singh.nids88@gmail.com

versus

SANJEEV MITTAL & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Dr. Vikrant Narayan Vasudeva with
Mr. Rohit Lochav and Mr. Hasan
Haider, Advocates for respondent no.
1/DSIIDC.
(M): 9868576256
Email: vikrantvasudeva@gmail.com
Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, ASC, GNCTD
with Mr. Yash Upadhyay and Ms.
Mahak Rankawat, Advocates for
respondent no. 2.
(M): 9891363718
Email: anujaggarwalascgnctd@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER
22.05.2024

%

1. The present petition has been filed alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 22nd February, 2024, passed in *W.P. (C) No. 13327/2023*.
2. It is noted that the aforesaid writ petition had been filed seeking directions to respondent nos. 4 and 5 to provide police protection to the employees of the shop for ingress and egress, and to ensure that there was no



interference in the smooth functioning of the liquor vend situated at shop no. V-439/1, Main Jafrabad Road, Maujpur Vijaypark, Delhi-110093.

3. Since, despite the order dated 22nd February, 2024, the respondent Delhi State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation (“DSI IDC”), had not opened the shop in question, the present contempt petition had been filed.

4. Today, learned counsel appearing for the respondent/DSI IDC, has drawn the attention of this Court to the compliance affidavit, that has been filed on behalf of respondent no. 1. The relevant portions of the said compliance affidavit, read as under:-

“xxx xxx xxx

5. *I state that in compliance of the order dated 22.02.2024 passed in W.P. (C) No. 13327 of 2023, the Respondent No. 1 has directed the concerned officers of DSI IDC to open the Shop No. V-439/1, Main Jafabad Road, Maujpur Vijay Park, Delhi, and the same was opened on 15.05.2024. Photos of the Shop No. V-439/1, Main Jafrabad Road, Maujpur Vijay Park, Delhi dated 15.05.2024 are attached as **Annexure R1-1 (Colly)**.*

xxx xxx xxx”

5. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner expresses concern that the respondent/DSI IDC has only opened the shutter of the shop, and as such has not made the said shop, operational.

6. However, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no. 1 refutes the said submission and submits that not only the shutter of the shop in question has been opened, but staff has also been deputed by the DSI IDC in the said shop, and that the said shop has been made operational.

7. The aforesaid statement made by learned counsel appearing for DSI IDC, is taken on record.



8. Considering the aforesaid, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to revive the present petition, in case, their grievance still survives, in any manner.

9. With the aforesaid directions, the present petition stands disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

MAY 22, 2024

c