



\$~A-3 to 8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6151/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr.
Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with
Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet
Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.

A-4

+ W.P.(C) 6212/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr.
Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with
Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet
Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.



A-5

+ W.P.(C) 7867/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr. Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.

A-6

+ W.P.(C) 7868/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr. Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.



A-7

+ W.P.(C) 7869/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr. Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.

A-8

+ W.P.(C) 7884/2020

M/S AVG LOGISTICS LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Aditya Jain, Ms. Kirti Raj and Mr. Satyam Shviaach, Adv.

versus

EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD with Mr. Parvin Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Tarun Johri, Mr. Vishwajeet Tyagi and Mr. Ankur Gupta, Adv.
for R-2.



CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

05.05.2025

CM APPL. 27232/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 6151/2020

CM APPL. 27107/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 6212/2020

CM APPL. 27238/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 7867/2020

CM APPL. 27109/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 7868/2020

CM APPL. 27235/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 7869/2020

CM APPL. 27229/2025 (for delay in filing the review petition) in W.P.(C) 7884/2020

1. For the reasons recorded in the instant applications, the delay in filing the review petition(s) stand condoned.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

CM APPL. 27231/2025, CM APPL. 27233/2025 & REVIEW PET. 280/2025 in W.P.(C) 6151/2020

CM APPL. 27105/2025, CM APPL. 27106/2025 & REVIEW PET. 277/2025 in W.P.(C) 6212/2020

CM APPL. 27237/2025, CM APPL. 27239/2025 & REVIEW PET. 282/2025 in W.P.(C) 7867/2020

CM APPL. 27108/2025, CM APPL. 27110/2025 & REVIEW PET. 278/2025 in W.P.(C) 7868/2020

CM APPL. 27234/2025, CM APPL. 27236/2025 & REVIEW PET. 281/2025 in W.P.(C) 7869/2020

CM APPL. 27228/2025, CM APPL. 27230/2025 & REVIEW PET. 279/2025 in W.P.(C) 7884/2020

Having heard Mr. Avi Singh, learned senior counsel appearing for the



petitioner, Mr. Tushar Sannu, learned counsel appearing for Municipal Corporation of Delhi, and Mr. Tarun Johri, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2, the Court does not find any apparent error on the face of the record. It is, however, reemphasized that the parties shall be governed by the findings rendered in paragraph no. 76 of the judgment, that the rights and liabilities will depend upon the outcome of the W.P.(C) 831/2019, i.e., the Delhi Metro Rail Corporation. Depending upon DMRC's liability the petitioner's ultimate fate will be governed.

In view of the aforesaid, the review petition(s) stand dismissed.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MAY 5, 2025/P/SP