



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(CRL) 1359/2024 and CRL.M.A. 36960/2024
SATISH CHAUHANPetitioner
Through: None

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr.Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC for State
with Ms.Charu Sharma, Mr.Arjit Sharma and
Mr.Nikunj Bindal, Advocates with Insp.Yogender
Mr.Prateek Som, Mr.Aditya and Mr.Chaitanya,
Advocates for respondent No.4

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **09.12.2024**

1. None for the petitioner.
2. With the assistance of learned ASC for the State, I have gone through the case records.
3. The present petition has been preferred seeking following prayers: -

“a) FOR ISSUE THE DIRECTION TO CONCERN AOUTHORY TO CONDUCT DEPARTMENT INQURY/DISCIPLINARY ACTION AGAINST THE THEN SHO SULTAN PURI NAMELY SUKHBIR MALIK AND I.O. S.I. HEMANT JANGID AND ALLOW TO COMPENSATION IN FAVOUR OF THE PETITIONER. ILLEGAL ARREST/DETENTION WITHOUT EVIDANCE AGAINST THE PETITIONER ON DATED 24.09.2022 IN THE FIR NO. 805/2022 P.S. SULTAN PURI WITH MALAFIDE INTENTION AND MISSUE OF POWER OF POLICE PERSONS CARRIED OUT FIR 805/2022

b) FOR ISSUSENCE THE DIRECTION RESPONDENT NO. 1 TO DELETE THE PETITIONER'S NAME IN THE RECORD OF



469/A BAD CHARACTER CRIMINAL RECORD / HISTORY SHEETAR COMPLETE RECORD IN POLICE STATION SULTAN PURI BECAUSE THIS IS THE VIOLANCE OF THE PUNJAB POLICE RULE AND STANDING ORDER 54/2021 PASSED BY THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE DELHI AND THE VIOLANCE OF JUDGEMENT DEEPAK SOLANKI @ SANSAR Vs Sate PASSED BY THE HON'BLE DELHI HIGH COURT."

4. Insofar as prayer (a) is concerned, the subject FIR is statedly pending trial. Insofar as prayer (b) is concerned, the petitioner's case was last reviewed in the year 2018.
5. Learned ASC for the State, while referring to the Status Report submits that the petitioner's grievance will be addressed by the concerned DCP and the continuation of his name in the bad character category in the surveillance register would also be reviewed.
6. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of alongwith the pending application.
7. Needless to state that while reviewing the matter, the petitioner would also be given an opportunity to be heard. In case, the petitioner is aggrieved by the outcome, he would be at liberty to approach the Court.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

DECEMBER 9, 2024

na