



\$~43

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **W.P.(C) 5745/2019**  
**GINDORI**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.I.S.Dahiya, Advocate.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ANR**

..... Respondents

Through: Mr.Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC,  
Mr.Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr.M.S.Akhtar,  
Ms.Nidhi Thakur and Mr.Mayank  
Arora, Advocates for LAC.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU**

**ORDER**

% **27.05.2024**

**CM APPL. 31867/2024(Restoration)**

1. This is an application seeking restoration of the above captioned petition, which was dismissed in default on 01.05.2024.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed.
3. The petition stands restored to the same position as was obtaining on 01.05.2024.
4. The application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 5745/2019**

5. Mr. Pathak, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Land and Acquisition Collector (LAC) submits that the petitioner had, prior to institution of the present writ petition, preferred a writ petition being W.P.(C) No.9282/2009 captioned *Smt. Gindori v. Union of India & Anr.*, which was disposed of by an order dated 01.09.2009 with the direction to the LAC to decide the representation of the petitioner expeditiously. It is the



petitioner's grievance that said order has not been complied with.

6. Mr. Pathak submits that he has sought instructions, but the records are not readily available. He needs further time to ascertain the fate of the petitioner's representation and whether the order dated 01.09.2009 was complied with by the respondent.

7. At his request, list on 23.07.2024.

8. It is clarified that if the respondents seek to file counter affidavit(s), the same be filed within four weeks from date. Rejoinder(s) thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing. No further time would be granted to the parties to complete the pleadings.

**VIBHU BAKHRU, J**

**TARA VITASTA GANJU, J**

**MAY 27, 2024**

*M*

*Click here to check corrigendum, if any*