



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 6104/2024**

PRASHANTH PROJECTS LIMITED

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Tahir Ashraf Siddiqui and Mr.
Ashirvad Kumar Yadav, Advocates.

versus

CENTRAL VIGILANCE COMMISSION & ORS Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravinder Agarwal, Advocate for
R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

02.05.2024

%

CM APPL. 25333/2024 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 6104/2024 & CM APPL. 25334/2024

1. The Petitioner has approached this Court seeking status of the complaints lodged by the Petitioner with the Central Vigilance Commission (CVC) against the officials of the Indian Oil Corporation (IOC).
2. The facts on record reveal that the Petitioner is a contractor of the Indian Oil Corporation. Material on record discloses that certain disputes arose between the Petitioner and IOC and the Petitioner has filed complaints with the CVO/CVC alleging malpractices by the officials of the Indian Oil Corporation.
3. It is stated that the Petitioner gave many complaints and three of the complaints, namely, Complaint No. 4919/2023 dated 12.01.2023, Complaint



No.5594/2023 dated 21.01.2023 and Complaint No.5595/2023 dated 21.01.2023 were registered.

4. It is stated that after registration of the complaints, the Petitioner has been kept in dark about the progress in the complaint. Learned Counsel for the Petitioner also points out various circulars brought out by CVC showing that it is mandatory on the department to show the result of the complaint to the complainant.

5. It is stated by learned Counsel for the Respondent states that as far as Complaint No.4919/2023 is concerned, a decision has been taken and a detailed investigation has been completed by the CVO and further clarification has been sought on the investigation report by the CVO. He further states that the other two complaints have also been clubbed with Complaint No. 4919/2023. The statement is taken on record.

6. As per the statement given by learned Counsel for the Respondent, three complaints are alive and are under consideration by the CVO. This Court is not inclined to proceed further in the present writ petition at this juncture. The CVO is directed to complete the procedure as expeditiously as possible in accordance with the circulars passed by the CVC on this aspect.

7. Liberty is granted to the Petitioner to approach this Court in case need arises in future.

8. The writ petition is disposed of along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

MAY 2, 2024

hsk