



\$~35

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 1314/2024

MD FAIZAN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. D.K. Singh, Mr. Varun Thakur,
Mr. Paras Pal, Ms. Lakshmi Prashad,
Mr. Hasim Khan, Mr. Naveen Kumar
Aggarwal, Mohd. Arshad Zahiri and
Mr. Sanjay Pahuja, Advs. with
petitioners.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (Crl.) for
State with ASI Padam Singh, PS
Madhu Vihar.
Mr. Sachin Dedha, Mr. Sidharth, Mr.
Himanshu Kaushik, Ms. Anamika
Pandey, Mr. Virender Rajput and Ms.
Nandini Singh, Advs. with R-2 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

08.10.2024

%

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.082/2024 registered under Sections 452/323/427/34 IPC at Police Station Madhu Vihar, Delhi on the ground that the parties have amicably settled their disputes.
2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioners hurled abuses and gave beatings to respondent No.2 and his family members.



3. Learned ASC for the State, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are the only accused persons and respondent No.2 is the complainant/victim in the present case. It is further submitted that the chargesheet has not been filed in this case and the FIR is still under investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners and respondent No.2 are known to each other and live in the same vicinity and present FIR was registered due to misunderstanding and with the intervention of family members and friends, parties have amicably settled their disputes vide Compromise/Settlement Deed dated 16.04.2024, a copy of which has also been placed on record. In terms of the said settlement, complainant is now left with no claim or grievance against the petitioners.

5. The petitioners, who are present in Court, have been identified by their counsel as well as the I.O./ASI Padam Singh, PS Madhu Vihar, Delhi who is present in the Court. Respondent No.2 is also present in Court and has been identified by the concerned I.O. Learned ASC for the State submits that beside the respondent no.2, there were five other injured, who are present in the Court and all of them state that they have no objection if the present FIR and the consequent proceedings are quashed.

6. The petitioners have shown remorse for their conduct and undertake not to repeat the same in future. Respondent No.2 also states that he has entered into the aforementioned Compromise/Settlement Deed out of his own free will, volition and without any coercion. He further states that he has no objection if the present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed.

7. The parties shall remain bound by the statements made in Court today.



8. In view of the above facts and considering that no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, it is directed that the aforesaid FIR and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

9. With the above directions, the petition is disposed of alongwith miscellaneous application.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

OCTOBER 8, 2024
akc