



\$~35 to 45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

35

+ **W.P.(C) 13491/2019, CM APPL. 54646/2019 & 914/2021**

UNION OF INDIA & ORSPetitioners

Through: None.

versus

V.P. MUNGHATE AND ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Joshi and Mr. Vinay
Kaushik, Advocates

36

+ **W.P.(C) 3532/2020 & CM APPL. 12550/2020**

PRADEEP GUPTA AND ORSPetitioners

Through: None.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Ripu Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC
and Mr. Anil Soni, CGSC with Mr.
Devvrat Yadav and Mr. Abhinav
Bhardwaj, Advs. for UOI

37

+ **W.P.(C) 5702/2020 & CM APPL. 20600/2020**

UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners

Through: None.

versus

ASHISH KUMAR MAITIRespondent

Through:

38

+ **W.P.(C) 5741/2020 & CM APPL. 20774/2020**

UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners

Through: None.

versus

SH SHARAD KUMAR GHODKE AND ORSRespondents

Through:



- 39
+ **W.P.(C) 5818/2020 & CM APPL. 21046/2020**
UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners
Through: None.
versus
K APPA RAORespondent
Through:
- 40
+ **W.P.(C) 4961/2020 & CM APPL. 17908/2020**
UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners
Through: None.
versus
S RANGARAJANRespondent
Through:
- 41
+ **W.P.(C) 4963/2020 & CM APPL. 17914/2020**
UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners
Through: None.
versus
P RAMAKRISHNARespondent
Through:
- 42
+ **W.P.(C) 5302/2020 & CM APPL. 19149/2020**
UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners
Through: None.
versus
MUSHARRAF ALI AND ANRRespondents
Through:
- 43
+ **W.P.(C) 5535/2020 & CM APPL. 19928/2020**
UNION OF INDIA AND ANRPetitioners
Through: None.
versus
K APPA RAORespondents
Through:



44

+ **W.P.(C) 5538/2020 & CM APPL. 19943/2020**

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

ASHISH KUMAR MAITI AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through:

45

+ **W.P.(C) 5866/2020 & CM APPL. 21190/2020**

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

K APPA RAO & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESH KUMAR KAIT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

27.08.2024

1. None appeared on behalf of the petitioners on the last date i.e., 22.04.2024 so is the position today.
2. It seems that the petitioners are not interested to prosecute the present petitions. Accordingly, the same are dismissed in default for non-prosecution along with pending applications.

SURESH KUMAR KAIT, J

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

AUGUST 27, 2024/riya