



\$~92

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 3366/2024

SHANTANU

..... Petitioner

Through:Mr.Shiv Chopra, Ms.Aadhyaa Khanna
and Mr.Siddharth Arora, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through:Mr.Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC with
Mr.Alok and Mr.Vasu, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

%

30.04.2024

CRL.M.A. 12964/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 3366/2024

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., however, the Registry has numbered the same as a CRL.M.C.
2. Considering that the present petition seeks directions in the nature of mandamus to release the petitioner on parole, the Registry shall re-number the present petition as W.P.(CRL.).
3. The petitioner has been convicted in trial held with respect to FIR No.171/2016 registered under Section 6 POCSO Act at P.S. Lahori Gate, Delhi and the appeal has also been dismissed by this Court, though his



sentence was modified. The petitioner seeks parole amongst other grounds to file an SLP and in this regard has made a representation before the competent authority on 22.02.2023, which is yet to be considered and decided.

4. Issue notice.

5. Learned ASC for the State accepts notice and fairly submits that the petitioner was released on furlough on 01.02.2024 for a period of about three weeks, however, on instructions, submits that the petitioner's representation would be decided within a period of three weeks from today.

6. In view of the statement made hereinabove, the present petition is disposed of.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 30, 2024

na