



2024:DHC:5487



\$~34

\* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

%

Date of Decision: 25.07.2024

+ CRL.M.C. 3336/2024

RAJEEV MATHUR &amp; ORS.

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.P.S. Nahar and Mr.Bhuvneshwar Tyagi, Advocates with petitioners in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.... Respondents

Through: Mr.Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for State with SI Paranjeet Singh, P.S. Ranhola &amp; ASI/IO Dharmendra Rai, P.S. Nihal Vihar.

Mr.Hasleen Singh Sodhi, Mr.Manoj Gupta, Ms.Drishti Nangia and Ms.Ridhi Arora, Advocates with respondent No.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA

%

**J U D G M E N T****ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J (ORAL)**

1. Petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 ('Cr.P.C.') has been preferred on behalf of the petitioners for quashing of FIR No. 0742/2019, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Ranhola and proceedings emanating therefrom.

2. In brief, as per the case of the petitioners, marriage between petitioner No.1 and respondent No. 2 was solemnized according to Hindu rites and ceremonies on 21.02.2015. Two children were born out of the wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 started living separately. On complaint of respondent No. 2, present FIR was registered on 16.12.2019.



2024:DHC:5487



3. The disputes are stated to have been amicably settled between the parties in terms of Settlement Agreement dated 10.06.2024 at Delhi High Court Mediation and Conciliation Centre. Both petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 are stated to be since residing together.

4. Learned APP for the State submits that in view of amicable settlement between the parties, he has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

5. Petitioners and respondent No. 2 are present in person and have been identified by SI Paranjeet Singh, P.S.: Ranhola. I have interacted with the parties and they confirm that the matter has been amicably settled between them without any threat, pressure or coercion. Respondent No. 2 also states that nothing remains to be further adjudicated upon between the parties and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances, since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, no useful purpose shall be served by keeping the case pending. Continuation of proceedings would be nothing but an abuse of the process of Court. Consequently, FIR No. 0742/2019, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S.: Ranhola and the proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed.

Petition is accordingly disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

A copy of this order be forwarded to learned Trial Court for information.

**ANOOP KUMAR MENDIRATTA, J.**

**JULY 25, 2024/v**