



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 1747/2023**

UMAKANT SHUKLA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Ramit Rana, Advocate.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr.Sanjeev Bhandari, ASC (Crl) with
Ms. Charu Sharma, Mr. Arjit Sharma,
Mr. Vaibhav Vats and Mr. Nikunj
Bindal, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

30.08.2024

%

1. The Petition under Section 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, seeking to quash the FIR No. 681/2019 for the offence under Section 406/420/465/467/471/255/259/260/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (*hereinafter referred to as 'IPC, 1860'*) registered at Police Station Mehrauli, Delhi.
2. Issue notice.
3. Mr. Sanjeev Bhandari, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of the State.
4. It is submitted that the parties had arrived at a mediated settlement dated 10.09.2021 under which a sum of Rs. 1,25,00,000/- had already been paid by the petitioner to the respondent No.2 and



moreover the respondent No.2 had appeared in the bail application where he had accepted and endorsed the settlement, however, after taking money the respondent No.2 has stopped appearing.

5. It is submitted that considering that the petitioner has already performed his part of the agreement, the FIR may be quashed.

6. Since the respondent No.2 is not present, there can be no quashing of the FIR at this stage. The petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to pursue his remedy in accordance with law.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

AUGUST 30, 2024

rk