



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 1494/2024**

RAHUL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shadman Ali, Advocate.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tarang Srivastava, APP for the
State.

SI Shubham (D6440), AATS/SED.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

07.08.2024

%

1. After some arguments, learned Counsel for the Petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present bail application.
2. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.
3. However, learned Counsel for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner is in custody since 15.07.2021, having no antecedents. He states that three years have passed and only three witnesses have been examined.
4. It is well settled that speedy trial has been enshrined as part of Article 21 of the Constitution of India. He states that the Petitioner is likely to languish in jail for a considerable period of time if the trial progresses at this speed. He states that three witnesses have been examined. Material on record indicates that there are total 11 witnesses but the raiding team consisted of five officers, out of whom only one has been examined.
5. The Trial Court is requested to examine the officers who were part of



the raiding team before the formal witnesses are examined, provided, it does not cause any prejudice to the conduct of the trial.

6. Liberty is granted to the Petitioner to approach the competent court for grant of bail after the officers who are part of the raiding team are examined.

7. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn along with pending application(s), if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

AUGUST 7, 2024

hsk